

ESTEP SPRING DISSEMINATION EVENT

17-18 FEBRUARY 2026 - BRUSSELS (BELGIUM)

HYDRA project: an open experimental platform paving the way for DR-EAF route hydrogen-based for carbon neutral steel production

Update on project results



Valentina Alemanno, **Filippo Cirilli**, **Claudia Sergi**, Daphne Mirabile, Luigi Pocaforza, Leonardo Pinna, Orlando Di Pietro

Introduction

The steel industry is at a critical point, where the need for sustainability intersects with the demand for innovation.

Hydrogen as reducing agent and energy vector contributes to mitigate CO2 emissions and also environmental impacts in primary and downstream stages, offering a compelling path toward sustainable steel production.

In this scenario, HYDRA, a six-year project launched by RINA-CSM and supported by the European Commission, aims to make a mark. It focuses not only on the technical development of hydrogen-based steel production but also on addressing the critical infrastructure requirements for hydrogen production, transport, and distribution. This holistic approach ensures that hydrogen can be scaled up effectively, driving long-term sustainability in steel manufacturing.

The pilot plants, that are the core of the project, will serve as a real-world demonstration of this transformative breakthrough technology.


The installation of EAF has been completed and the first heat carried out. The DRP installation is at final step commissioning will start end of February/March.

Project description



WP1 (*Development of green hydrogen use in iron and steelmaking*) is aimed to:

- to define the guidelines and procedure for a safe and reliable use of H₂ within the industrial steelmaking plants
- to give confidence to the steelmakers about the feasibility of introducing H₂ in the industrial steelmaking process
- to evaluate the impact of H₂ percentage in a CH₄/H₂ blended mixture on the industrial steelmaking plant (targeting 100% H₂)
- to make deeper knowledge and to upgrade the standards and regulation framework for the design and realization of dedicated hydrogen components in the steel making plant, with the HSE related issues.
- To provide training support (training HUB)



Project description



WP2 is focused on the **Direct Reduction process** in a pilot plant.

The pilot plant has been designed to investigate phenomena governing the Direct Reduction process, simulating in a reliable scale what occurs in industrial plants

DRP tower of about 30 m height

100 kg/h DRI produced (about 2 t per day)

Gas input by wagon tank (slot for four wagon tanks foreseen)

Gas treatment line equipped with CO₂ capture

NG injection in the lower part of the furnace




Project description



WP3 is focused on the DRI melting will be investigate with a state- of-the-art electrical furnace pilot plant, of capacity of **7 t/heat**.

Main characteristics of the furnace are:

- 7 t capacity, with 4 m³ internal volume
- AC three electrodes
- Scrap and DRI charge
- Continuous charging of DRI
- Injection of solid materials (additive, coal, alternative carbonaceous materials)
- KT lance working as Hydrogen burner or Oxygen injector
- Furnace shell refractory insulated



Project description

WP4 is focused on the use of hydrogen in **furnaces** for re-heating and treatment of the steel

This stream of activities uses the already existing facilities (experimental combustion station in Dalmine and high temperature labs in Rome)


The aim of WP4 is to support the diffusion of the technology and investigating the effect of H₂ combustion both on the products quality and performance/life of the plant components



Combustion station




Horizontal furnace for annealing simulation



TGA to simulate steel oxidation

Project description




HYDRA – Project timing



Project officially started on the 1^o January 2023 and will last six years

- All the facilities will be completed between end of 2025 and beginning 2026
- The first heat with pilot EAF carried out in December 2025
- Commissioning of DRP will start in March 2026
- Full operativity of the whole experimental platform June 2026
- Kinetic and combustion studies carried out
- Model improvement (DRP and EAF) ongoing





- Kinetic studies of pellets reduction
- Impact of hydrogen combustion atmosphere on steel oxidation, descaling and annealing
- Hydrogen utilization in downstream: compatibility and limits of existing plant configurations, burner testing and scenario analysis
- Cooperation with Universities: Graduate and PhD thesis ongoing (two graduate thesis completed, one ongoing)
- Engagement with other EU projects to enable cross-project synergies and spillover effects
- Interaction with industrial partners

Kinetic of reduction of iron ore pellets



- Tests with two different grades
- Temperatures tested: 800°C and 1000°C
- Three hydrogen flow rates (velocity) tested: 50 NI/h, 120 NI/h, 200 NI/h
- Three gas atmospheres tested: pure H₂, pure CO, H₂/CO in a ratio 3/1 (simulating CH₄ steam reforming)
- Chemical composition and iron speciation measured before and after tests
- SEM analysis to investigate sample morphology and porosity evolution

Kinetic of reduction of iron ore pellets

Materials

Low Grade Pellets (LG)



Chemical Component	(%)
P ₂ O ₅	<0.05
SiO ₂	4.97
Al ₂ O ₃	0.64
CaO	0.77
MnO	0.08
MgO	1.04
Na ₂ O	0.4
K ₂ O	0.06

Chemical Component	(%)
Fe _{tot}	64.3
Fe _{met}	<0.5
Fe ⁺²	<0.5
Fe ⁺³	~63.0

High Grade Pellets (HG)




Chemical Component	(%)
P ₂ O ₅	0.15
SiO ₂	1.71
Al ₂ O ₃	0.5
CaO	1.14
MnO	0.1
MgO	0.42
Na ₂ O	<0.1
TiO ₂	0.05

Chemical Component	(%)
Fe _{tot}	67.0
Fe _{met}	-
Fe ⁺²	0.1
Fe ⁺³	66.9




Kinetic of reduction of iron ore pellets

- Low grade vs high grade (tests in pure H₂)



- Significant effect of temperature and gas velocity


- Evolution of Fe and Fe²⁺ as a function of time for low grade pellets




Kinetic of reduction of iron ore pellets



- Low grade vs high grade (tests in pure H₂)





- Evolution of Fe and Fe²⁺ as a function of time for high grade pellets



- Confirmed the effect of temperature and gas velocity
- Smaller dispersion of data respect low grade pellets

Kinetic of reduction of iron ore pellets

- Low grade vs high grade (tests in pure H₂): evolution of porosity



Porosity increases due to loss of oxygen. This is beneficial for the progression of the reduction reaction since it ensures an increase in the specific surface area

HG pellets showed higher average porosity increase respect LG. This is coherent with the higher gangue content of LG pellets which intrinsically decreases the amount of reducible iron

Values obtained with image analysis. Deeper analysis with other techniques (as Micro CT) planned

Kinetic of reduction of iron ore pellets


- Compare the reduction kinetic of CO vs H₂
- Three reducing species used: H₂, CO and CH₄ (simulated with H₂/CO ratio 3:1)
- Sample heated at 1000°C in inert atmosphere
- After temperature stabilization switch to reducing atmosphere
- Tests duration 2 hours
- Tests repeated with low and high grades



Due to safety constraints of the lab, CO could not be used as pure gas but diluted with inert one. The same dilution applied to H₂ and CO/H₂

These results have comparative value and are not directly comparable with tests carried out with pure H₂

Kinetic of reduction of iron ore pellets



Confirmed highest reaction kinetic of HG respect LG

H₂ reduction rate faster than CH₄ (H₂/CO 3:1 ratio)

Kinetic of reduction of iron ore pellets: general conclusions




- Temperature: the optimal range is in between 800°-1200°C. High operating temperatures are necessary from a thermodynamic perspective to sustain the endothermic reaction of reduction and from the kinetic perspective to promote diffusion phenomena. At 1000°C of degree, with proper gas flow rate the best compromise seems to be obtained
- The first step of reduction from Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} is in general rapid and the limiting step is from Fe^{2+} to metallic Fe
- hydrogen flow: as expected, the higher the H_2 flow is, the higher the reaction velocity
- Comparative tests of reducing blends of H_2 versus CH_4 (3 H_2 /1 CO) and CO showed a kinetic of reduction $\text{H}_2 > \text{CH}_4 > \text{CO}$

Steel oxidation and scale removal under hydrogen combustion atmosphere

Oxidation tests were performed on 13 different steel grades. In particular, three carbon steel grades were provided by Gruppo Pittini, four steel grades were provided by Acciaierie Venete, four steel grades were provided by Ori Martin and two stainless steel grades were provided by AST

Oxidation tests were performed in isothermal conditions in lab furnace, The steel specimen was connected with an Al₂O₃ refractory shaft using the 3 mm hole created on its short side and a Pt wire and connected to a balance characterized by a precision of 1 mg






Temperature (°C)	Holding Time (h)	Atmosphere			
		N ₂ (%)	CO ₂ (%)	O ₂ (%)	Moisture (%)
900	2	71	9	2	18
900	2	66	-	2	32
1200	2	71	9	2	18
1200	2	66	-	2	32

Steel oxidation and scale removal under hydrogen combustion atmosphere – example with carbon steels



Significant effect for carbon steels at 1200°C, while almost negligible at 900°C




	C80	Fe34B	Fe50R
C	0.78 – 0.82	max. 0.05	0.17 – 0.19
Si	0.15 – 0.30	max. 0.10	0.10 – 0.15
Mn	0.60 – 0.80	max. 0.35	0.65 – .75
P	0.020	0.025	0.025
S	0.025	0.025	0.04
Cr	0.20	-	0.30

Steel oxidation and scale removal under hydrogen combustion atmosphere – example with austenitic and ferritic stainless steels



304 austenitic grade is characterized by a much higher resistance to oxidation than 441 ferritic grade especially at 1200 °C





This difference is due to the austenitic nature of 304 which entails a 9.015 % of Ni in the alloy against the 0.255 % in the ferritic 441. Once the protective chromia layer becomes unstable due to the rapid volatilization the scale becomes richer in Fe and also in Ni in the case of 304 which is more noble than Fe and provides better oxidation resistance

Steel oxidation and scale removal under hydrogen combustion atmosphere



304

441



Scale growth is observed for 441 grade at 1200 °C in hydrogen atmosphere due to the combined effect of:

- high Cr mobility and diffusion resulting from the high operating temperature
- higher water vapor concentration which promote chromia volatilization and finally the low content on noble Ni

Steel oxidation and scale removal under hydrogen combustion atmosphere



Temperature has a significant influence on the oxidation behavior of all steel grades. A strong increase in oxidation phenomena and scale growth was observed for increasing temperatures regardless of the combustion atmosphere.

The oxidizing atmosphere proved to be another fundamental variable affecting steel oxidation response with the one simulating hydrogen combustion determining a greater increase in scale mass; the effect on scale kinetic growth depends on steel composition.

Si increases carbon steel oxidation resistance through the formation of silicon oxides and olivine. This effect remains active until heating temperature exceeds the melting point of iron olivine which can range from 1205 °C for pure fayalite, to higher values for iron olivine with higher forsterite content or to lower values around 1178°C (eutectic with FeO).

Higher Ni content in the steel grade proved to be beneficial for the oxidation resistance since Ni is more noble than Fe. Higher Ni amounts are also responsible for a more complex descaling of the steel.

Significant influence is exerted by Cr, with different impact in 304 and 441. This must be ascribed to chromia forming $\text{CrO}_2(\text{OH})_2$, i.e., chromium (VI) oxyhydroxide, which is extremely volatile when high water vapor contents are present in the atmosphere.



filippo.cirilli@rina.org
claudia.sergi@rina.org



**Funded by
the European Union**
NextGenerationEU

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the granting authority. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.