

“How to make a successful RFCS proposal”

Hybrid Workshop

Conclusion slides



REA.B1
Future Low Emission Industries
European Research Executive
Agency
European Commission

13 December 2023

Excellence: main issues identified

DIFFICULTY TO DESIGN SMART OBJECTIVES AND THE RELATED KPIS

THE STATE OF THE ART IS SUPERFICIALLY DESCRIBED, AND NOT SUPPORTED BY A SOLID LIST OF REFERENCES AND/OR PREVIOUS PROJECTS' RESULTS

THE REASONING ON WHAT IS THE ADDED VALUE OF THE PROPOSAL WITH RESPECT TO PREVIOUS ACHIEVEMENTS IS MISSING

METHODOLOGY IS TOO GENERIC, DOES NOT INCLUDE ENOUGH DETAILS OF THE PROPOSED WORK

DESCRIPTION OF TECHNOLOGICAL CHALLENGES AND THE WAY TO ADDRESS THEM CONCRETELY IS LACKING

Impact: main issues identified

BENEFITS ARE MENTIONED, BUT NOT QUANTIFIED.

JUSTIFICATIONS FOR THE REQUIRED TRL ARE DEFICIENT.

ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS ARE NOT EXPLAINED/JUSTIFIED.

CDE LACK CLEAR TARGETS AND ARE NOT DETAILED ENOUGH.

Quality & efficiency of implementation: main issues identified

RISK AND ASSOCIATED MITIGATION MEASURES ARE TOO GENERIC.

FINANCIAL RESOURCES NEED MORE JUSTIFICATION. THE 'FULL COST' OPTION IS NOT USED CORRECTLY.

SUB-CONTRACTING, ESPECIALLY WHEN IT COVERS BIG PARTS OF THE TOTAL PROJECT BUDGET, IS NOT ADEQUATELY DETAILED.

WORK PACKAGE DESCRIPTIONS, MILESTONES, OVERALL PLANNING ARE NOT UP TO STANDARD.

NOT CLEAR ALLOCATION OF TASKS/RESPONSIBILITIES PER PARTICIPANT.